

EXHIBIT 4

B. Exhibit A hereto, prepared by the Trustee's counsel, identifies the single cases or, in certain instances, the lead case of related adversary proceedings where defendants are represented by common counsel, in which Extraterritoriality Defendants have filed motions to withdraw the reference (or joined in such motions, which joinders are deemed included in the scope of this Order unless expressly stated otherwise on Exhibit A) from the Bankruptcy Court to this Court to determine whether SIPA and/or the Bankruptcy Code as incorporated by SIPA apply extraterritorially, permitting the Trustee to avoid the initial Transfers that were received abroad or to recover from initial, immediate or mediate foreign transferees (the "Extraterritoriality Issue"). Such cases and joinders are referred to herein as the "Adversary Proceedings."

C. The Court, over the objections of the Trustee and the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), previously withdrew the reference from the Bankruptcy Court to consider issues concerning whether the Trustee may avoid or recover Transfers that BLMIS made to certain defendants abroad. *See Primeo Fund, et al.*, No. 12 MC 0115 (S.D.N.Y. Order dated May 15, 2012) [ECF No. 97] (the “Extraterritoriality Withdrawal Ruling”).

D. Pursuant to Extraterritoriality Withdrawal Ruling, the Court has decided to consolidate briefing on the merits of the Extraterritoriality Issue, and the resolution of this issue will govern all pending motions to withdraw the reference and those pending motions to dismiss that have not yet been fully briefed and argued. See Extraterritorial Withdrawal Ruling, p. 10-11; SIPC v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Secs. LLC (In re Madoff Secs.), No. 12 MC 0115 (S.D.N.Y. Order dated Apr. 19, 2012) [ECF No. 22] (the “Common Briefing Order”). The Court’s Extraterritoriality Withdrawal Ruling also directed counsel for the Trustee to convene a

conference among the Extraterritoriality Defendants and to schedule consolidated proceedings no later than May 23, 2012.

E. On May 23, 2012 counsel for the Trustee, SIPC, and the Extraterritoriality Defendants convened a conference call with the Court, and the Court thereafter ordered that the parties submit by no later than June 6, 2012 a proposed order agreed to by the parties for withdrawal and briefing of a consolidated motion to dismiss related to the Extraterritoriality Issue.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The reference of the Adversary Proceedings listed in Exhibit A is withdrawn, in part, from the Bankruptcy Court to this Court solely with respect to the Extraterritoriality Defendants for the limited purpose of hearing and determining whether SIPA and/or the Bankruptcy Code as incorporated by SIPA apply extraterritorially, permitting the Trustee to avoid the initial Transfers that were received abroad or to recover from initial, immediate or mediate foreign transferees. Except as otherwise provided herein or in other orders of this Court, the reference to the Bankruptcy Court is otherwise maintained for all other purposes.

2. The Trustee and SIPC are deemed to have raised, in response to all pending motions for withdrawal of the reference based on the Extraterritoriality Issue, all arguments previously raised by either or both of them in opposition to all such motions granted by the Extraterritoriality Withdrawal Ruling, and such objections or arguments are deemed to be overruled, solely with respect to the Extraterritoriality Issue, for the reasons stated in the Extraterritoriality Withdrawal Ruling.

3. All objections that could be raised by the Trustee and/or SIPC to the pending motions to withdraw the reference in the Adversary Proceedings, and the defenses and

responses thereto that may be raised by the affected defendants, are deemed preserved on all matters.

4. On or before July 13, 2012, the Extraterritoriality Defendants shall file a single consolidated motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (made applicable to the Adversary Proceeding by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7012) and a single consolidated supporting memorandum of law, not to exceed forty (40) pages (together, the “Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss”).

5. The Trustee and SIPC shall each file a memorandum of law in opposition to the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss, not to exceed forty (40) pages each, addressing the Extraterritoriality Withdrawal Ruling Issue (the “Trustee’s Opposition”) on or before August 17, 2012.

6. Young Conway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, which is conflicts counsel for the Trustee, and Windels Marx Lane & Mittendorf, LLP, which is special counsel to the Trustee, each may file a joinder, not to exceed two (2) pages (excluding exhibits identifying the relevant adversary proceedings), to the Trustee's Opposition, on behalf of the Trustee in certain of the adversary proceedings listed on Exhibit A hereto on or before August 17, 2012. In either case, the respective joinders may only specify what portions of the Trustee's Opposition are joined and shall not make or offer any additional substantive argument.

7. The Extraterritoriality Defendants shall file one consolidated reply brief, not to exceed twenty (20) pages, on or before August 31, 2012 (the “Reply Brief”). In the event the Trustee files an amended complaint (the “Amended Complaint”) in any of the Adversary Proceedings after the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss is filed, the Reply Brief shall include a reference (by civil action number and docket number only) to a representative Amended Complaint filed by the Trustee against Extraterritoriality Defendants. Any further requirement

that the Amended Complaints subject to the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss be identified or filed is deemed waived and satisfied. In the event the Trustee files an Amended Complaint, he shall, at the time the Amended Complaint is filed, provide the Extraterritoriality Defendants a blackline reflecting the changes made in the Amended Complaint from the then operative complaint.

8. The Court will hold oral argument on the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss on September 21, 2012, at 4:00 p.m. (the “Hearing Date”).

9. On or before August 31, 2012, the Extraterritoriality Defendants shall designate one lead counsel to advocate their position at oral argument on the Hearing Date, but any other attorney who wishes to be heard may appear and so request.

10. The caption displayed on this Order shall be used as the caption for all pleadings, notices and briefs to be filed pursuant to this Order.

11. All communications and documents (including drafts) exchanged between and among any of the defendants in any of the adversary proceedings, and/or their respective attorneys, shall be deemed to be privileged communications and/or work product, as the case may be, subject to a joint interest privilege.

12. This Order is without prejudice to any and all grounds for withdrawal of the reference (other than the Extraterritoriality Issue) raised in the Adversary Proceedings by the Extraterritoriality Defendants and any matter that cannot properly be raised or resolved on a Rule 12 motion, all of which are preserved.

13. Nothing in this Order shall: (a) waive or resolve any issue not specifically raised in the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss; (b) waive or resolve any issue raised or that could be raised by any party other than with respect to the Extraterritoriality Issue, including related issues

that cannot be resolved on a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12; or (c) notwithstanding Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(g)(2) or Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7012(g)(2), except as specifically raised in the Extraterritoriality Motion to Dismiss, limit, restrict or impair any defense or argument that has been raised or could be raised by any Extraterritoriality Defendant in a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 or Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7012, or any other defense or right of any nature available to any Extraterritoriality Defendant (including, without limitation, all defenses based on lack of personal jurisdiction or insufficient service of process), or any argument or defense that could be raised by the Trustee or SIPC in response thereto.

14. Nothing in this Order shall constitute an agreement or consent by any Extraterritoriality Defendant to pay the fees and expenses of any attorney other than such defendant's own retained attorney. This paragraph shall not affect or compromise any rights of the Trustee or SIPC.


15. This Order is without prejudice to and preserves all objections of the Trustee and SIPC to timely-filed motions for withdrawal of the reference currently pending before this Court (other than the withdrawal of the reference solely with respect to the Extraterritoriality Issue) with respect to the Adversary Proceedings, and the defenses and responses thereto that may be raised by the affected defendants, are deemed preserved on all matters.

16. The procedures established by this Order, or by further Order of this Court, shall constitute the sole and exclusive procedures for determination of the Extraterritoriality Issue in the Adversary Proceedings (except for any appellate practice resulting from such determination), and this Court shall be the forum for such determination. To the extent that briefing or argument schedules were previously established with respect to the Extraterritoriality Issue in any of the Adversary Proceedings, this Order supersedes all such schedules solely with respect to the

Extraterritoriality Issue. To the extent that briefing or argument schedules are prospectively established with respect to motions to withdraw the reference or motions to dismiss in any of the Adversary Proceedings, the Extraterritoriality Issue shall be excluded from such briefing or argument and such order is vacated. For the avoidance of doubt, to the extent any of the Extraterritoriality Defendants have issues other than the Extraterritoriality Issue or issues set forth in the Common Briefing Order that were withdrawn, those issues will continue to be briefed on the schedule previously ordered by the Court. Except as stated in this paragraph, this Order shall not be deemed or construed to modify, withdraw or reverse any prior Order of the Court that granted withdrawal of the reference in any Adversary Proceeding for any reason.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York
June 6, 2012



JED S. RAKOFF, U.S.D.J.

EXHIBIT A

1.	<i>Picard v. Primeo</i>	11-cv-06524-JSR	Morrison & Foerster LLP Gary S. Lee (glee@mofo.com) Joel C. Haims (jhaims@mofo.com) LaShann M. DeArcy (ldearcy@mofo.com) Kiersten A. Fletcher (kfletcher@mofo.com)
2.	<i>Picard v. ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (presently known as the Royal Bank of Scotland, N.V.), et al.</i> (as filed by Rye Select Broad Market XL Portfolio Ltd.)	11-cv-06848-JSR	Morrison & Foerster LLP Gary S. Lee (glee@mofo.com) Joel C. Haims (jhaims@mofo.com) LaShann M. DeArcy (ldearcy@mofo.com) Kiersten A. Fletcher (kfletcher@mofo.com)
3.	<i>Picard v. ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (presently known as the Royal Bank of Scotland, N.V.), et al.</i> (as filed by ABN AMRO Incorporated, ABN AMRO Bank, N.V.)	11-cv-06878-JSR	Allen & Overy LLP Michael S. Feldberg (michael.feldberg@allenoverly.com) Bethany Kriss (bethany.kriss@allenoverly.com)
4.	<i>Picard v. ABN AMRO (Ireland) Ltd. (F/N/A Fortis Prime Fund Solutions Bank (Ireland) Ltd.), et al.</i> (as filed by Rye Select Broad Market XL Portfolio Ltd.)	11-cv-06849-JSR	Morrison & Foerster LLP Gary S. Lee (glee@mofo.com) Joel C. Haims (jhaims@mofo.com) LaShann M. DeArcy (ldearcy@mofo.com) Kiersten A. Fletcher (kfletcher@mofo.com)

5.	<i>Picard v. ABN AMRO (Ireland) Ltd. (F/N/A Fortis Prime Fund Solutions Bank (Ireland) Ltd.), et al.</i> , (as filed by ABN AMRO Custodial Services (Ireland) Ltd., ABN AMRO Bank (Ireland), Ltd.)	11-cv-06877-JSR	Latham & Watkins Christopher Harris (christopher.harris@lw.com) Cameron Smith (cameron.smith@lw.com)
6.	<i>Picard v. Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria, S.A.</i>	11-cv-07100-JSR	Shearman & Sterling LLP Heather Kafele (hkafele@shearman.com) Joanna Shally (jshally@shearman.com)
7.	<i>Picard v. Federico Ceretti, et al.</i> (as filed by Federico Ceretti, Carlo Grosso, FIM Limited and FIM Advisers LLP)	11-cv-07134-JSR	Paul Hastings LLP Jodi Kleinick (jodikleinick@paulhastings.com) Barry Sher (barrysher@paulhastings.com) Mor Wetzler (morwetzler@paulhastings.com)
8.	<i>Picard v. Oreades Sicav, et al.</i> (as filed by BNP Paribas Investment Partners Luxembourg S.A., BGL BNP Paribas S.A. and BNP Paribas Securities Services S.A.)	11-cv-07763-JSR	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Lawrence B. Friedman (lfriedman@cgsh.com) Breon S. Peace (bpeace@cgsh.com)
9.	<i>Picard v. Equity Trading Portfolio Ltd., et al.</i> (as filed by BNP Paribas Arbitrage SNC)	11-cv-07810-JSR	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Lawrence B. Friedman (lfriedman@cgsh.com) Breon S. Peace (bpeace@cgsh.com)
10.	<i>Picard v. BNP Paribas Arbitrage SNC</i>	12-cv-00641-JSR	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Lawrence B. Friedman (lfriedman@cgsh.com) Breon S. Peace

			(bpeace@cgsh.com)
11.	<i>Picard v. Barclays Bank (Suisse) S.A., et al</i>	12-cv-01882-JSR	Hogan Lovells US LLP Marc J. Gottridge (marc.gottridge@hoganlovells.com) Andrew M. Behrman (andrew.behrman@hoganlovells.com)
12.	<i>Picard v. ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (presently known as The Royal Bank of Scotland, N.V.), et al</i>	12-cv-01939-JSR	Allen & Overy LLP Michael S. Feldberg (michael.feldberg@allenoverly.com) Bethany Kriss (bethany.kriss@allenoverly.com)
13.	<i>Picard v. Kohn, et al.</i> (as filed by UniCredit Bank Austria)	12-cv-02161-JSR	Sullivan & Worcester LLP Franklin B. Velie (fvelie@sandw.com) Jonathan Kortmansky (jkortmansky@sandw.com) Mitchell C. Stein (mstein@sandw.com)
14.	<i>Picard v. HSBC Bank, plc, et al.</i> (as filed by UniCredit Bank Austria)	12-cv-02162-JSR	Sullivan & Worcester LLP Franklin B. Velie (fvelie@sandw.com) Jonathan Kortmansky (jkortmansky@sandw.com) Mitchell C. Stein (mstein@sandw.com)
15.	<i>Picard v. HSBC Bank, plc, et al.</i> (as filed by UniCredit S.p.A. and Pioneer)	12-cv-02239-JSR	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher, & Flom LLP (susan.saltzstein@Skadden.com) Marco E. Schnabl (Marco.Schnabl@Skadden.com)

			Jeremy A. Berman (jeremy.berman@Skadden.com) Jason C. Putter (jason.putter@skadden.com)
16.	<i>Picard v. Kohn, et al.</i> (as filed by UniCredit S.p.A. and Pioneer)	12-cv-02240-JSR	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher, & Flom LLP Susan L. Saltzstein (susan.saltzstein@Skadden.com) Marco E. Schnabl (Marco.Schnabl@Skadden.com) Jeremy A. Berman (jeremy.berman@Skadden.com) Jason C. Putter (jason.putter@skadden.com)
17.	<i>Picard v. Bank Julius Baer & Co., Ltd.</i>	12-cv-02311-JSR	McKool Smith P.C. John P. Cooney, Jr. (jcooney@mckoolsmith.com) Eric B. Halper (ehalper@mckoolsmith.com) Virginia I. Weber (vweber@mckoolsmith.com)
18.	<i>Picard v. Lion Global Investors Limited</i>	12-cv-02349-JSR	Proskauer Rose LLP Gregg M. Mashberg (gmashberg@proskauer.com) Richard L. Spinogatti (rspinogatti@proskauer.com)
19.	<i>Picard v. Grosvenor Investment Management Ltd., et al.</i>	12-cv-02351-JSR	Proskauer Rose LLP Richard L. Spinogatti (rspinogatti@proskauer.com)
20.	<i>Picard v. Inteligo Bank Ltd. Panama Branch f/k/a/ Blubank Ltd. Panama Branch</i>	12-cv-02364-JSR	Shearman & Sterling LLP Heather Kafele (hkafele@shearman.com) Joanna Shally (jshally@shearman.com) Jessica Bartlett

			(jessica.bartlett@shearman.com)
21.	<i>Picard v. Banca Carige, S.P.A.</i>	12-cv-02408-JSR	Kasowitz, Benson, Torres, & Friedman LLP David J. Mark (dmark@kasowitz.com)
22.	<i>Picard v. Somers Dublin Limited, et al.</i>	12-cv-02430-JSR	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Evan A. Davis (edavis@cgsh.com) Thomas J. Moloney (tmoloney @cgsh.com)
23.	<i>Picard v. HSBC Bank, plc, et al.</i> (as filed by the HSBC Defendants)	12-cv-02431-JSR	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Charles J. Keeley (cjkeeley@cgsh.com) Tom Moloney (tmoloney@cgsh.com) Evan Davis (edavis@cgsh.com) David Brodsky (dbrodsky@cgsh.com)
24.	<i>Picard v. Banco Itau Europa Luxembourg S.A., et al</i>	12-cv-02432-JSR	Shearman & Sterling LLP Heather Kafele (hkafele@shearman.com) Joanna Shally (jshally@shearman.com)
25.	<i>Caceis Bank Luxembourg, et al.</i>	12-cv-02434-JSR	Kelley Drye & Warren LLP Thomas B. Kinzler (tkinzler@kelleydrye.com) Daniel Schimmel (dschimmel@kelleydrye.com) Jaclyn M. Metzinger (jmetzinger@kelleydrye.com)

26.	<i>Picard v. Banque Privee Espirito Santo S.A.</i>	12-cv-02442- JSR	Flemming Zulack Williamson Zauderer LLP Elizabeth A. O'Connor (eoconnor@fzwz.com) John F. Zulack (Jzulack@fzwz.com) Megan Davis (mdavis@fzwz.com)
27.	<i>Picard v. Nomura International PLC</i>	12-cv-02443- JSR	Shearman & Sterling LLP Brian H. Polovoy (bpolovoy@shearman.com) Christopher R. Fenton (Cfenton@shearman.com) Andrew Z. Lipson (alipson@shearman.com)
28.	<i>Picard v. Nomura Bank International PLC</i>	12-cv-02446- JSR	Shearman & Sterling LLP Brian H. Polovoy (bpolovoy@shearman.com) Christopher R. Fenton (Cfenton@shearman.com) Andrew Z. Lipson (alipson@shearman.com)
29.	<i>Picard v. The Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co., Ltd.</i>	12-cv-02481- JSR	Becker, Glynn, Melamed & Muffly LLP Zeb Landsman (zlandsman@beckerglynn.com) Jordan E. Stern (jstern@beckerglynn.com) Michelle Mufich (mmufich@beckerglynn.com)
30.	<i>Picard v. UBS AG, et al.</i> (M&B Capital Advisers Sociedad de Valores, S.A., M&B Capital Advisers Gestion SGIIC, S.A. -	12-cv-02483- JSR	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP David Greenwald (dgreenwald@cravath.com) Richard Levin

	Moving Parties) [Amended Motion to Withdraw]		(rlevin@cravath.com)
31.	<i>Picard v. Unifortune Asset Management SGR SPA, et al.</i>	12-cv-02485-JSR	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP David Greenwald (dgreenwald@cravath.com) Richard Levin (rlevin@cravath.com)
32.	<i>Picard v. Trincaster Corporation</i>	12-cv-02486-JSR	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP David Greenwald (dgreenwald@cravath.com) Richard Levin (rlevin@cravath.com)
33.	<i>Picard v. Banque Syz & Co., SA</i>	12-cv-02489-JSR	Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP David Greenwald (dgreenwald@cravath.com) Richard Levin (rlevin@cravath.com)
34.	<i>Picard v. Square One Fund Ltd., et al.</i>	12-cv-02490-JSR	Tannenbaum Helpert Syracuse & Hirschrift LLP; Brune & Richard LLP. Tannenbaum Helpert Syracuse & Hirschrift LLP Tammy P. Bieber (bieber@thsh.com) Brune & Richard LLP David Elbaum (delbaum@bruneandrichard.com) Bernfeld, DeMatteo & Bernfeld, LLP

			David Bernfeld (davidbernfeld@bernfeld- dematteo.com)
35.	<i>Picard v. Credit Agricole (Suisse) S.A., et al.</i>	12-cv-02494- JSR	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP Lawrence B. Friedman (lfriedman@cgsh.com)
36.	<i>Picard v. SNS Bank N.V., et al</i>	12-cv-02509- JSR	Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP Andrea J. Robinson (andrea.robinson@wilmerhale.com) Charles C. Platt (charles.platt@wilmerhale.com) George W. Shuster, Jr. (george.shuster@wilmerhale.com)
37.	<i>Picard v. Quilvest Finance Ltd.</i>	12-cv-02580- JSR	Jones Day Thomas E. Lynch (telynch@jonesday.com) Scott J. Friedman (sjfriedman@jonesday.com)
38.	<i>Picard v. Arden Asset Management, Inc., et al.</i>	12-cv-02581- JSR	Seward & Kissel LLP M. William Munno (munno@sewkis.com) Mandy DeRoche (deroche@sewkis.com) Michael B. Weitman (weitman@sewkis.com)
39.	<i>Picard v. Banque J. Safra (Suisse) SA</i>	12-cv-02587- JSR	Sullivan & Cromwell LLP Robinson B. Lacy (lacyr@sullcrom.com) Joshua Fritsch (fritschj@sullcrom.com) Angelica M. Sinopole (sinopolea@sullcrom.com)

40.	<i>Picard v. Vizcaya Partners Limited, et al.</i>	12-cv-02588-JSR	Sullivan & Cromwell LLP (for Bank J. Safra (Gibraltar) Limited) Robinson B. Lacy (lacyr@sullcrom.com) Joshua Fritsch (fritschj@sullcrom.com) Angelica M. Sinopole (sinopolea@sullcrom.com) Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP (for Zeus Partners Ltd) Anthony L. Paccione (anthony.paccione@kattenlaw.com)
41.	<i>Picard v. Abu Dhabi Investment Authority</i>	12-cv-02616-JSR	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP Peter E. Calamari (petercalamari@quinnemanuel.com) Marc L. Greenwald (marcgreenwald@quinnemanuel.com) Eric M. Kay (erickay@quinnemanuel.com) David S. Mader (davidmader@quinnemanuel.com)
42.	<i>Picard v. Fairfield Sentry Limited, et al.</i> (as filed by Chester Global Strategy Fund Limited, Chester Global Strategy Fund, LP, Irongate Global Strategy Fund Limited, Fairfield Greenwich Fund	12-cv-02619-JSR	Simpson Thacher & Barlett LLP Mark G. Cunha (mcunha@stblaw.com) Peter E. Kazanoff (pkazanoff@stblaw.com) Wollmuth Maher & Deutsch LLP

	(Luxembourg), Fairfield Investment Fund Limited, Fairfield Investors (Euro) Ltd., and Stable Fund LP)		<p>Frederick R. Kessler (fkessler@wmd-law.com) Paul R. DeFilippo (pdefilippo@wmd-law.com) Michael P. Burke (mburke@wmd-law.com)</p> <p>Debevoise & Plimpton LLP Mark P. Goodman (mpgoodman@debevoise.com)</p> <p>O'Shea Partners LLP Sean F. O'Shea (soshea@osheapartners.com) Michael E. Petrella (mpetrella@osheapartners.com)</p> <p>White & Case LLP Glenn M. Kurtz (gkurtz@whitecase.com) Andrew W. Hammond (ahammond@whitecase.com)</p> <p>Covington & Burling LLP Bruce A. Baird (bbaird@cov.com)</p> <p>Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP Daniel J. Fetterman (dfetterman@kasowitz.com)</p> <p>Morvillo, Abramowitz, Grand, Iason, Anello & Bohrer, P.C. Edward M. Spiro (espiro@maglaw.com)</p>
--	---	--	---

			<p>Dechert LLP Andrew J. Levander (andrew.levander@dechert.com) David S. Hoffner (david.hoffner@dechert.com)</p>
43.	<p><i>Picard v. Fairfield Sentry Limited, et al. (Joint Memorandum filed by various defendants)</i></p>	12-cv-02638-JSR	<p>Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP Mark G. Cunha (mcunha@stblaw.com) Peter E. Kazanoff (pkazanoff@stblaw.com)</p> <p>Wollmuth Maher & Deutsch LLP Frederick R. Kessler (fkessler@wmd-law.com) Paul R. DeFilippo (pdefilippo@wmd-law.com) Michael P. Burke (mburke@wmd-law.com)</p> <p>Debevoise & Plimpton LLP Mark P. Goodman (mpgoodman@debevoise.com)</p> <p>O'Shea Partners LLP Sean F. O'Shea (soshea@osheapartners.com) Michael E. Petrella (mpetrella@osheapartners.com)</p> <p>White & Case LLP Glenn M. Kurtz (gkurtz@whitecase.com) Andrew W. Hammond (ahammond@whitecase.com)</p>

			<p>Covington & Burling LLP Bruce A. Baird (bbaird@cov.com)</p> <p>Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP Daniel J. Fetterman (dfetterman@kasowitz.com)</p> <p>Morvillo, Abramowitz, Grand, Iason, Anello & Bohrer, P.C. Edward M. Spiro (espiro@maglaw.com)</p> <p>Dechert LLP Andrew J. Levander (andrew.levander@dechert.com) David S. Hoffner (david.hoffner@dechert.com)</p>
44.	<i>Picard v. Plaza Investments International Limited, et al.</i>	12-cv-02646-JSR	<p>Debevoise & Plimpton LLP Joseph P. Moodhe (Jpmoodhe@debevoise.com) Shannon Rose Selden (srselden@debevoise.com)</p>
45.	<i>Picard v. Defender Limited, et al</i> (Defender Limited, Reliance Management (BVI) Limited, Reliance Management (Gibraltar) Limited and Tim Brockmann – Moving Parties)	12-cv-02800-JSR	<p>Klestadt & Winters LLP Tracy L. Klestadt (tklestadt@klestadt.com) Brendan M. Scott (bscott@klestadt.com)</p>
46.	<i>Picard v. UBS AG, et al.</i> (Reliance Management (BVI) Limited and Reliance Management (Gibraltar) Limited	12-cv-02802-JSR	<p>Klestadt & Winters LLP Tracy L. Klestadt (tklestadt@klestadt.com) Brendan M. Scott</p>

	– Moving Parties)		(bscott@klestadt.com)
47.	<i>Picard vs. The Estate of Doris Igoi, et al.</i>	12-cv-02872-JSR	Kelley Drye & Warren LLP Jonathan K. Cooperman (Jcooperman@KelleyDrye.com) Seungwhan Kim (skim@kelleydrye.com)
48.	<i>Picard v. KBC Investments Limited,</i>	12-cv-02877-JSR	Sidley Austin LLP Alan M. Unger (aunger@sidley.com) Bryan Krakauer (bkrakauer@sidley.com)
49.	<i>Picard v. Meritz Fire & Marine Insurance Co. Ltd.</i>	12-cv-02878-JSR	Steptoe & Johnson LLP Kristin Darr (kdarr@steptoe.com) Seong H. Kim (skim@steptoe.com)
50.	<i>Picard v. Leon Flax, et al.</i>	12-cv-02928-JSR	Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP Anthony L. Paccione anthony.paccione@kattenlaw.com Brian L. Muldrew brian.muldrew@kattenlaw.com
51.	<i>Picard v. Orbita Capital Return Strategy Limited</i>	12-cv-02934-JSR	Dechert LLP Gary Mennitt (gary.mennitt@dechert.com)
52.	<i>Picard v. Atlantic Security Bank</i>	12-cv-02980-JSR	Arnold & Porter LLP Scott B. Schreiber (Scott.Schreiber@aporter.com) Andrew T. Karron (Andrew.Karron@aporter.com)

53.	<i>Picard v. Cardinal Management Inc., et al</i>	12-cv-02981-JSR	Clifford Chance US LLP Jeff E. Butler (jeff.butler@cliffordchance.com)
54.	<i>Picard v. Radcliff Investments Limited, et al.</i>	12-cv-02982-JSR	Clifford Chance US LLP Jeff E. Butler (jeff.butler@cliffordchance.com)
55.	<i>Picard v. Pictet et Cie</i>	12-cv-03402-JSR	Debevoise & Plimpton LLP Michael E. Wiles (mewiles@debevoise.com)
56.	<i>Picard v. Merrill Lynch International</i>	12-cv-03486-JSR	Arnold & Porter LLP Pamela A. Miller (Pamela.Miller@aporter.com) Kent A. Yalowitz (Kent.Yalowitz@aporter.com)
57.	<i>Picard v. Merrill Lynch Bank (Suisse) SA</i>	12-cv-03487-JSR	Arnold & Porter LLP Pamela A. Miller (Pamela.Miller@aporter.com) Kent A. Yalowitz (Kent.Yalowitz@aporter.com)
58.	<i>Picard v. Fullerton Capital PTE. Ltd.</i>	12-cv-03488-JSR	Arnold & Porter LLP Pamela A. Miller (Pamela.Miller@aporter.com) Kent A. Yalowitz (Kent.Yalowitz@aporter.com)
59.	<i>Picard v. Cathay United Bank, et al.</i>	12-cv-03489-JSR	Baker & McKenzie LLP David W. Parham (david.Parham@bakermckenzie.com)

60.	<i>Picard v. Standard Chartered Financial Services (Luxembourg) S.A., et al</i>	12-cv-04328	Sullivan & Cromwell LLP Robinson B. Lacy (lacyr@sullcrom.com) Sharon L. Nelles (nelless@sullcrom.com) Patrick B. Berarducci (berarduccip@sullcrom.com)
-----	--	-------------	--